

HORROR STORY

The paedophile party advances at the UN, thanks to the EU and USA

FAMILY AND EDUCATION

28_08_2024



**Luca
Volontè**



The new UN treaty on 'Strengthening international cooperation in combating certain offences committed by means of information and communication technology systems and sharing evidence in electronic form of serious crimes', prepared and approved in its

provisional version by the United Nations Office on Drugs, Terrorism and Crime (UNODC), if it is definitively supported by the majority of countries at the General Assembly in the coming months, would open the door to paedophilia and legalise child sexual abuse.

On 9 August, despite the pride shown in the statement by UNODC (the cybercrime agency) Executive Director **Ghada Waly**, the international community opened the door to child pornography and could end up facilitating child trafficking and youth sexual violence and abuse worldwide.

Western delegations from the US and European countries, including the EU, supported the inclusion of exceptions for certain forms of child pornography in the text. According to these exceptions, material that does not involve a 'real child' or is self-generated (artificial intelligence), or created within a 'consensual relationship' and stored for 'private use', should not be subject to mandatory prosecution by states. These forms of child pornography have been banned everywhere until now under a protocol of the 'UN Convention on the Rights of the Child'. The exceptions supported by Western countries would replace the universal ban with an optional and variable right for each country. The fact is also extremely serious because for years Europe has confirmed itself as **the world's most important 'global hub'** for hosting child pornography online.

The exceptions promoted by the EU, US and other Western countries were introduced in Article 14, particularly in paragraphs 3 and 4 of that article, which laconically concludes in paragraph 5 by stating: 'Nothing in this Convention shall affect international obligations which contribute most to the realisation of the rights of the child'. This is an arrogant and brazen lie.

The Austrian delegate represented the voice of the entire EU and openly supported the western point of view: 'Children over the age of 14 have the right to develop sexual relationships and choose to have a sexual relationship' with adults, they can 'produce images in the course of sexual engagement and share them with each other', without any criminalisation. The same position is held by Germany, which, **as we described last May**, has watered down its regulations precisely on the possession and production of child pornography. We are also at the full legalisation without any limits of 'sexting' (the exchange of sexually or sexually explicit messages, audio, images or videos, especially through smartphones or social network chats, including nude or half-naked images). The champions who fight against female or child violence, where did they go? The Catholic Church of zero tolerance, why are they silent? Have the Western journalists who are moved by crippled and injured children in uncontrolled wars and

migrations all gone silent?

Delegations from Iran and the Democratic Republic of Congo called for a vote to remove these exceptions and, with the support of others, denounced that they could harm children, promote child sex trafficking and perverse sexual practices. Fifty-one countries joined forces and promoted the same objections as Congo and Iran by voting to remove the provisions, which nevertheless remained included in the text because ninety-one countries, led by the United States and the European Union ([here is the disconcerting video of the EU representative's statement](#)), voted to keep them.

Following the adoption of the new treaty wording, some delegations remained opposed, however, including Nicaragua, Niger, Djibouti, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Iraq, Guatemala, Mali, Tanzania, Venezuela, Thailand, Syria, Burkina Faso, Paraguay, Senegal, Morocco, Sudan, Uganda, Kenya and Zimbabwe. A further, joint statement [submitted by Syria's delegate](#) on behalf of 22 countries, including Russia, China denounced that such exceptions would undermine the purpose of the convention, which is to protect children. 'I would like to ask again [which rights are we protecting](#), those of criminals or those of victims?' said a delegate from Russia, also supported by China. The General Assembly is expected to formally adopt the treaty by the end of the year and open it to countries for signature. According to Article 65 of the text, the Convention 'shall enter into force on the 90th day after the date of deposit of the 40th instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession'.

It is worth remembering that the Western delegations themselves, [the USA, the EU and the United Kingdom in primis](#), had already tried to remove the term 'child pornography' in September last year, in an earlier phase of discussion on the text, to replace it with the more aseptic 'material of sexual exploitation of minors'. The paedophile lobby, be it high-level bureaucrats in national and international institutions, rulers, men of culture and [media opinion makers](#), managers and [international financiers](#) are legalising paedophilia and child pornography, step by step. But we will not be gagged.